



**TOWN COUNCIL
SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES
JULY 20, 2010**

Michael Bowie, Chair District 2 2012
Gina Mason, Vice Chair District 1 2010
Fern Larochelle, Jr., At Large 2010
Roger Cote, At Large 2011
Mark Lunt, District 1 2012
Dale Crafts, District 2 2010
Lori Pomelow, At Large 2012

CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE TO THE FLAG. The Chairman, Michael Bowie, called the meeting to order and led the pledge of allegiance to the flag at 7:00 PM.

ROLL CALL. Members present were Councilors Bowie, Mason, Crafts, Larochelle, Cote, Pomelow, and Lunt. Also present were Stephen Eldridge, Town Manager; David Brooks, Police Chief; Ryan Leighton, Town Engineer; Elwood Beal, Public Works Director; Jessica Maloy, Finance Director; Wayne Ricker, Solid Waste Director; Budget Advisory Board Members Don Fellows, Dorothy Fitzgerald; Richard Green, Superintendent; Cathy Messmer, Bookkeeper; School Committee Members Traci Austin, Kathi Yergin; Kenneth Wells, Water Commissioner; and approximately 15 citizens in the audience.

PUBLIC HEARING

A. STREET LIGHT ORDINANCE

Councilor Bowie opened the public hearing. There were no public comments. Councilor Bowie closed the public hearing.

CONSENT AGENDA

VOTE (2010-112) Councilor Larochelle, seconded by Councilor Lunt moved to approve a renewal Liquor License for Good Time Lanes and Endorsement of U.S. Department of Justice 2010 Cops Grant Application. **Order passed - Vote 7-0.**

COUNCIL ORDERS, RESOLUTIONS, & ORDINANCES

EMERGENCY ITEM - SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION BOND

VOTE (2010-113A) Councilor Larochelle, seconded by Councilor Pomelow moved that the resolve entitled "Resolve Relating To Issuance Of Town's \$350,000 Bonds As Direct Pay, Qualified School Construction Bonds," be adopted in form presented to this meeting and that a copy of said Resolve attested by the Town Clerk be included with the minutes of this meeting.

Councilor Bowie said could you give us a brief overview. Cathy Messmer said she would speak in regards to the resolve. What we need you to do tonight is to approve this resolve, so that we can continue with the process of getting a Qualified School Construction Bond. This is a very new bond format, which goes through the Federal government. The bottom line is that this will be a 0% interest bond for the town. The only cost to the town will be the \$350,000 in principle. So all you have to do is pay the principle, but in order to do this though the Federal Government we have to approve this Resolve and jump through some hoops. We shortened the term to QSCB instead of having to say Qualified School Construction Bond. They regular interest bonds for the bank that

provides it, but we get reimbursed for the interest we pay the bank. The IRS is going to reimburse us for the full amount of the interest that we pay the bank so the bottom line is we will not have to pay any interest on this bond. I just wanted to put that out there.

Mrs. Messmer said I do have Ed McLiney here from McLiney Associates. He's the one that went through all the work of finding the banks, doing the paperwork, and working with our Bond Council to get this going. Actually, these QSCB's are very new and I was not comfortable doing it solo, so we have hired him to help take care of processing it.

Councilor Larochelle said just to make it clear so that everybody understands this \$350,000 has already been voted on and approved. This money is already in play, it's just the vehicle that you use to get that money to save the interest is what we are looking at. Mrs. Messmer said exactly.

Councilor Larochelle said has the length of the bond changed as far as the payment back. Mrs. Messmer said it is still ten years.

Councilor Bowie said that after the vote I would like the Council to hear a brief update from Mr. Green or Traci on where we stand with the progress of all this new construction.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

Vote By Roll Call - Yeas: Councilor Bowie, Mason, Larochelle, Crafts, Cote, Pomelow, and Lunt.
Nays: None. **Order Passed - Vote 7-0.**

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION UPDATE

Mr. Green said unlike a lot of people, when I look at what is going on at the high school, I see progress and no worries about when it is going to be done. These are professional contractors and I think our job, even though it is huge for this community, it's kind of tiny for them. What you are seeing from the outside is the removal of the windows/asbestos, which was one of the concerns that we originally had. It was subcontracted out. We did discover that the paint on the ceiling in the original 1950s wings was 10% asbestos, so we went ahead with removal and that delayed us a little bit, because we had to hire a company to come in and put up some abatements so the dust and paint chips wouldn't interfere. We have used extra caution as we have gone along. You wouldn't even recognize the inside of the high school. About three days into the construction, I walked in, took a left, went up to where the main office used to be, and when I stepped inside I was surprised, I had no idea, it was cleared all the way down to the end of the hallway. It happened quickly. Over the next few weeks, from what I understand, you are probably going to see a lot more changes, just because they are going to start taking the windows out on the top floor. They are on the north side right now. What you can't see behind the plastic, is that they actually do have a lot of the metal framing up already.

Mr. Green said we have been fortunate to have good weather. They said they are going to be able to put all the siding up and the windows are the only piece that's going to be coming in really close to the deadline. They are going to have everything all set so that they can place the windows in there when they come in. They have already framed out all the new office space and the main entrance. They are putting up sheetrock right now. They are laying the foundation and getting everything all ready right now, but when it really starts, probably in the next few weeks, you will see a change, it will probably change over the course of a day or two. As far as I am concerned, things are going great. Other people may disagree, because it looks messy inside, but I think you are going to appreciate it. I hope that we won't have any delays, but again, anything can happen, but right now it looks pretty good.

Mr. Green said we will definitely schedule an open house for the community to come and take a look. I think you are going to be impressed. When you look at what they are taking out and what they are going to be putting in, it's pretty impressive. It's going to be a great addition to the high school.

Traci Austin said that they, also, moved the art room from underneath the gymnasium into the other adjoining space to the other art room, which was one of the NEASC concerns being rectified. The custodial staff, the way they worked it this year, each of the employees went to each building and the last one to be done is the high school, so that once they are all done construction, the staff is completely ready to do those two wings. It will be done. Friday I was panicked, today we met with the contractors, and I am a little bit better now.

Dot Fitzgerald said he mentioned asbestos paint. I've never heard of asbestos paint. Did he mean lead paint? Mr. Green said, no, they took a sample and they determined that 10% of that material in the ceiling was asbestos and they determined it was the paint. It has cost us a lot of money for what it is, but we definitely made sure that we have taken all the precautions necessary so that we don't have any issues during construction or even after.

STREET LIGHT ORDINANCE

(First Reading)

Mr. Eldridge said you have copies of that. Our attorney has gone through this ordinance and everything seems to be in order from his perspective. Also, the Planning Board has approved it to move forward.

Councilor Larochelle said reading through it, it sounds very generic in a sense. Any idea of what percentage of lights this will affect in town. Mr. Eldridge said we are looking at about 10% to start with. We met today, Chief Brooks, myself, Buttons, and Ryan and we still have quite a bit of spreadsheet work to do to make sure that CMP supplied us with a complete document; it wasn't necessarily all the lights that we have. We are trying to get more current data from them to make sure it coincides with what we have. It looks like we are going to be starting with Upland Road, Route 9, and some of the outlying areas where we have a lot of extra lights and moving in that direction to start with. Our goal, which was discussed today, is to start with 10% and see where we get.

Councilor Bowie said I have one question, because I didn't see it in here, but in your thought process behind removing street lights, especially in the rural sections, did you look at the number of traffic incidences in various areas where these street lights could be. Mr. Eldridge said some of the things that Chief Brooks brought up, was areas like on Upland Road where there had been a fatality there. That section is actually being removed by the Upland Road project. Other than that, we haven't really had any other information on fatalities or incidents.

Councilor Larochelle said when we actually have a request for additional street lighting, is the wording in that pretty much by another code that, you know, because of the town engineer, public works, safety, and 100% of all the property owners within 440 feet, is that 440 feet a standard. Mr. Eldridge said that is the standard definition in the original ordinance.

Councilor Larochelle said that would be done through the Planning Board. Mr. Eldridge said, no, that is going to go through the Town Engineer, Public Works, and Public Safety people to address it and then make a recommendation, yes it fits within the guidelines, or no it doesn't fit the criteria. Councilor Larochelle said it almost seems as if the way it's worded here that it would have to be done in a setting where you actually notify all of the abutters and have them come to a meeting and get approval or disapproval. It kind of sounds like with the property owners, you would almost have to do some type of meeting where it all comes together. Mr. Eldridge said we feel that part of

it is that they should be aware that we are making these recommendations, for taking a light out or putting a light in and if they had any objections to that, they should be able to voice their opinion if they have concerns. Councilor Larochelle said so there would be a process for that. Mr. Eldridge said absolutely. Councilor Lunt said following up on the Fern's question, is there an appeals process if you say no to this light, is there any way you can go beyond that, or is that a dead end there. Councilor Bowie said under Section 12 it says to refer to the Town of Lisbon Zoning Board of Appeals Ordinance, Chapter 2 Division 4. Mr. Eldridge said so there will be an appeals process.

Councilor Lunt said in the Appendix it talks about a master of street light maps and roads that were mentioned earlier where it talks about the classification of roads, do we have access to these maps. Mr. Eldridge said you would as soon as all the zoning maps are updated, which hasn't come before you yet, so you will have to wait for that to come before the Council to be approved.

Councilor Pomelow said on Page 6, Section 7.3, talking about the General Residential/Limited Residential/Unlimited Rural Residential, in that first paragraph, am I to read that the spacing interval of approximately 220 feet means that street lights would be put in, in areas from the intersection to intersection when it goes beyond the 220 feet. Councilor Bowie said the question is, is that automatic, basically.

Councilor Pomelow said meaning that, if you use my street, from intersection to intersection there are two utility poles that don't have lights on them, so if you come in there from one intersection two and a half houses you would put in a street light and another two and a half houses you would put in another street light. This would be adding another two streetlights to that road. Mr. Eldridge said if it's feasible to do so, but we want to leave that open. That was a question that came up today about the intervals of street lighting that CMP uses. They were doing one on every other pole and that is something we still need to review. We need to go out at night and look at how that affects public safety; that's our biggest concern.

Chief Brooks said if I may add to that, my understanding of that is that if the town so chooses to put an additional street light up at an intersection, it will be not within that 220 feet. Councilor Bowie said, but this stuff is not going to come before Council anymore. That is the idea of having this ordinance. This will not come to Council. Chief Brooks said, but, again, if the committee or whatever it's called, denies a street light, there still is an appeal process. It's probably good to get some of these questions out now to get some understanding, but that's how I interpret that to be, no closer than 220 feet, whatever that may be.

Councilor Pomelow said if you take this literally, on a street such as mine, there are two poles that don't have lights on them and neighbors could say, because of this paragraph, there's going to be one every approximately 220 feet, which means my street alone would get two new street lights where we are looking to kind of eliminate some of those it feels like it's defeating our purpose.

Chief Brooks said I don't have that in front of me, but I don't think the intent was to start putting street lights up every 220 feet. Councilor Pomelow said that's how I take it. I think there are other streets that this could apply to in a lot of the residential neighborhoods. If you look at the way it talks about this between all roadway intersections and mid-block locations, and if you go to the Gross development, there are probably streets there with poles, that if you looked at it as approximately every 220 feet, we may be looking at putting in more street lights with the way that that's written. Councilor Bowie said I don't know if this will help, Lori, but if we said one street light shall be located at all roadway intersections and may be located at mid-block locations, at spacing intervals of approximately 220 feet. Councilor Pomelow said I think that gives an out. Councilor Bowie said I think that is a small enough change so it doesn't have to go back through the whole cycle again.

Councilor Larochelle said I think that the Chief was looking at some of this before trying to make sure this worked out, instead of saying approximately I think you are looking at a minimum, right?

A minimum of 220 feet, that is not actually the distance, but nothing less than that, right. Is that kind of what was interrupted before?

Chief Brooks said I don't think we should have street lights that are 100 feet apart or 150 feet apart, we were setting a standard of whatever that number came from, 220 feet. Councilor Bowie said you could say at a spacing interval of. Councilor Larochelle said 220 feet or greater, which means it could be 450 feet or greater. Mr. Eldridge said or none at all. Councilor Larochelle said I agree with Lori, this statement says there will be. Councilor Pomelow said how about no less than. Mr. Eldridge said 220 feet. Councilor Pomelow said then you could take out "as feasible". Chief Brooks said there you go. Mr. Eldridge said you want to take out "as feasible". Councilor Bowie said so then you would have at intervals of no less than 220 feet given existing utility pole and light pole spacing.

Councilor Pomelow said on Page 8, Section 8.7 this phrase about lights between private and public roadways shall be discontinued within 90 days of verification. Is that through the process you were talking about where you identify where the lights are. Mr. Eldridge said if the town is paying for it that's one of the things we have to identify going through our crosschecking process to see what CMP says we have and what we say we have. Is it a public or private light? Who is paying for it? If it is a private light and somebody else is paying for it, they can have it as long as they are paying for it. Councilor Pomelow said so if the town is paying for it, upon verification within 90 days, that light will be removed. Mr. Eldridge said yes.

Councilor Cote said, Mike, I haven't seen anything in here that, if a pole or a light is taken down by a truck or what have you, to ensure that it gets put back up. Mr. Eldridge said as long as it is brought to our attention, all they have to do is contact the Police Department now if they have a light out. Councilor Pomelow said I think what Roger is referring to is the accountability piece. Councilor Larochelle said 6.2 it says that the Town Engineer is responsible for overseeing maintenance and operation of Town streetlights. A contractor shall be responsible for the installation, replacement, removal, and maintenance of all Town street lights. He is responsible for any maintenance.

VOTE (2010-113B) Councilor Pomelow, seconded by Councilor Mason moved to approve the Street Light Ordinance as presented with the following change in Section 7.3 "... interval of no less than 220 feet as ~~feasible~~ given..."

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Don Fellows said as part of the Planning Board when we went through that thing, one of the things that was developed was a check list that would take care of the process that is all over that ordinance and not necessarily in one place. It has chops from the Police, the Fire Department, and Public Works, everybody in between, with the final being through the Town Engineer. But the other thing that would happen, too, is in the process of something being torn down, like the Littlefield Road light, it starts with one citizen going through that whole checklist again and then it would end up on his desk to fix it, rather than going to the Council and maybe something happens later, or not.

Order passed -Vote By Roll Call - Yeas: Councilor Bowie, Larochelle, Mason, Crafts, Cote, Pomelow, and Lunt. **Nays: None. Order Passed - Vote 7-0.**

POLICE CRUISER BIDS

Chief Brooks said you should find in your packet the summary on the responses from the bid requests that went out. Again, the lone Maine dealer that most generally gets the State bid, Quirk Ford in Augusta, has 2010s on the lot. Casco Bay Ford did submit a bid, but it was only a 2011 unit and has a delivery time of 8-12 weeks. With all of that material in front of you, I recommend that

you approve the Quirk Ford bid of \$19,890. In discussing the lease/purchase budgeted amount with Jessica and her diligent search for the lowest interest rate in the United States of America, we are coming in under budget, which is quite a good thing.

Chief Brooks said some additional information I have, I know Councilor Crafts is itching to hear this, unit two which will be traded now has 134,992 miles on it and last year at this time when we traded the other two units, they had 102,000 and 103,000, respectively. The 2006 we are now trading has 5,032 hours on the engine. Last year, the cars had 3,780 and 3,814, respectively. Those 5,032 hours equate to 166,000 miles at the manufacturers 33 miles per hour standard. So, in the long run, it worked. What I did learn from this is that, if we continue to try to maintain our vehicles for four years, that at the halfway point I will be rebuilding driver's seats in them. Any of you can go out and sit in the driver's seat of that 2006 Crown Victoria and you will feel like you are sitting on the pavement; it's just something that will have to be addressed. That is a minor fix compared to the overall picture.

Chief Brooks said another question, if I recall, last year Councilor Larochelle asked the question on prices compared to the previous year; the 2010 comes in at \$19,890 and last year the 2009s came in at \$19,665. Last years trade allowance was \$3,250 per unit and this year it is \$3,100. So, we didn't lose a lot of money for that extra year. That "test" car as we call it, worked out fine, but I can always say we had to bring the transmission in before we tested it.

Councilor Crafts said the 2011 is coming in a little less money, right. Chief Brooks said it also doesn't have the limited stick, it doesn't have the spot light, it doesn't have the adjustable pedals, and if you picked up on the height of the officers on the department, the adjustable pedals come in handy. Councilor Crafts said this is obviously an ordered unit so that's why you have to wait 8-12 weeks? Chief Brooks said that's right, it would have to be ordered. Councilor Crafts said could it be ordered with those options on there and do you know what that would cost with those options on there at Casco Bay Ford. Chief Brooks said those options would put it over the price of the 2010 unit price so I have been told. Councilor Crafts said the only reason I say that is that when you get ready to trade it it's a year newer so normally when a car is a year newer, you get more for a trade-in. Plus, the good part of this, Chief, would be that it would get another 8-12 weeks out of that old car. Chief Brooks said I really don't want to put any more money into that old car and my concern is that in 8-12 weeks we would most likely spend more than what the cost difference is between them. Councilor Crafts said, I know, I couldn't help myself. Chief Brooks said, but, it was a good test. We had our differences of opinions on it, we compromised on the idea, and it is something we could look at down the road. Councilor Crafts said, yes, I appreciate it. Chief Brooks said we now know what we have to do halfway through the process in order to make them work. Councilor Crafts said, great, thank you.

Councilor Larochelle said Chief, was there any difference at all in the styling or options from the 2010 to 2011. Chief Brooks said as you know the 2011 is the last year for Crown Victoria's. They are not changing anything that I am aware of, at least, I haven't been told they are changing anything. I can tell you that Chevrolet was really hoping we could put off everything until the 2011 brand new rear wheel drive Chevrolet Caprices come out in 2011.

Councilor Larochelle said that was one of my other questions, moving forward, you had mentioned that you wanted to get the Crown Vics out of the way before you got into the more economical maybe front wheel drive vehicles. Chief Brooks said I don't believe I said that. I wouldn't look at a front wheel drive car before a rear wheel drive car in this type of work. Councilor Larochelle said I was just curious. At the time we talked, I don't think anybody was proposing a rear wheel drive car and I don't think that has changed over the last year. Chief Brooks said Ford is turning their Taurus in 2012 into its police interceptor. They are making the big 8-cylinder that they have available for it. You can go look at a Taurus now, there are some in town, and there is no way that we can put our equipment, our computers, radios, and everything else with that console design in that Taurus, can't be done. Councilor Larochelle said unless they redesigned it.

Chief Brooks said that is going to be a concern, too, come whatever year it is that we start changing over, because as you know, we have been interchanging push bumpers and roll bar cages and everything since, well, our first Crown Vic was 1992, not that we've kept all that stuff. We have done a lot of transition over the years, keeping equipment as long as we can, because it fits. None of this stuff is going to fit come the next rotation. We will have to look at that as well. He said, so anyway, going back to this, I do recommend the Quirk bid of \$19,890.

VOTE (2010-114) Councilor Pomelow, seconded by Councilor Mason moved to award the Police Cruiser bid to Quirk Ford in Augusta for \$19,890 to purchase a 2010 Ford P7B Crown Victoria.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

USED LOADER BIDS

Mr. Beal said after much discussion, research by me, Roger Goyette, and Duncan Daly, we've come up with a recommendation. We sent the bid to ten vendors, six responded. Two of them are in the ballpark. They are both 2009 used units. I wanted a new one, but Beauregard brought up a Case, it's used, so I sent it all out, and now I'm going for a used one. One is a 2009 621E the other is a Kawasaki 65ZF2, also a 2009. The Case has 1,150 hours, that's almost two years worth of wear for us. Kawasaki only has 149 hours. They both meet or exceed the specs. We've tried both machines, they don't have a preference. With the Case having that many hours on it, the small problems we found along the way just trying it out for a month or so, I don't need to have problems that need to be fixed before you even get one so I recommend we go with the Kawasaki. It was, also, a low amount of money for \$73,900. They both have the same warranty for 3 years. I think the Kawasaki is a better deal for us at this time.

Councilor Cote said what's the price difference between that and a brand new one. Mr. Beal said this Kawasaki was \$73,900, the same model brand new was \$88,629.

Councilor Larochelle said I had a chance to go down and talk to someone, because I was concerned and I always like to deal with vendors locally. This vendor is a bit farther away, but the deal itself looks good. I know a lot about Case, but I don't know much about Kawasaki, so I asked a couple of questions. Lewiston actually has two of them. Mr. Beal said they are planning to buy another one and they have had no problems with them whatsoever. Councilor Larochelle said if another town has them and I imagine Lewiston drives their equipment as hard as anyone, and we would be using it for the same thing with the wing and if they are going to buy a third one, that means odds are they have had good luck with them, so the idea of saving \$15,000 on a machine that has 100 hours really doesn't bother me, especially with the same warranty, because they are extending the 3-year warranty. Mr. Beal said Kawasaki said they would match whatever Case did.

Councilor Larochelle said one other benefit with this machine is that it actually has an extra option that would cost us more. Mr. Beal said it has a fourth valve, which means there is no electronic stuff; it has separate levers for everything. Councilor Larochelle said which wouldn't be there if we purchased new. Mr. Beal said then you would have a toggle switch type of deal.

Councilor Cote said what did you personally think about the Kawasaki. Mr. Beal said I thought it was a nice machine.

Mr. Eldridge said Roger Goyette, also, came out to take a look at it. He actually drew up the specs for what we were looking for, all pro bono. His recommendation was the Kawasaki as well. Mr. Beal said with only 149 hours, it's a brand new machine to me, I don't care if it's a year old.

Councilor Bowie said what's the difference between the bias and the radial tires on this one. Mr. Beal said the bias tires work better for us. The radials are way more expensive to replace when they go. Bias aren't that bad. Councilor Bowie said I heard mention of this wing, but we are not buying the wing, right. Mr. Beal said, no, but we are going to have to buy the wing post and the thing for the wing, but that's a separate vendor and about \$2,800. Councilor Bowie said, okay, because I saw \$28,000 on this. Councilor Larochelle said I saw that too. Mr. Beal said that's from the factory if they put it on there. I don't know exactly why it's \$28,000, because I can go to Fairfields and get the same thing and they will install it for \$2,800. We can buy it for \$2,300 and put it on ourselves. Mr. Beal said I have the wing.

VOTE (2010-115) Councilor Larochelle, seconded by Councilor Crafts moved to award the used Loader bid to E.W. Sleeper Company for \$73,900 for a 65ZV-2 Kawasaki Wheel Loader.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

4-IN-1 BUCKET BIDS

Mr. Eldridge said Buttons will address this as well. Mr. Beal said the JRB 4-In-1 Bucket is a JRB 4-in-1 Bucket. There is no difference, it is the same make. The cheapest one was at The Oliver Store for \$12,305. Its right in New Gloucester. They will deliver it free so I am recommending we get it at the Oliver Store for \$12,305.

VOTE (2010-116) Councilor Pomelow, seconded by Councilor Cote moved to accept the bid for the JRB 4-in-1 Bucket Loader from The Oliver Store for \$12,305.40.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

TRAILER BIDS

Mr. Ricker said Roger Goyette put together the specs on this; there should have been three bids on it. One was from Steco. If we go for the extra length, that bid came in at \$57,575; that includes the \$700 extra for the extra length. We are double checking to make sure that will fit in the building before we go with that extra length. I've checked it many times and it's the same length as what we have, but it doesn't hurt to check it again.

Mr. Ricker said two other bids came in. One was from Howard Fairfield. That one came in at \$72,985. There was one identical to the one we have had for the last 12 years from J & J Messer at \$73,500. The one that we are recommending from Steco is cheaper, which kind of surprised us a little. Steve is from Monmouth, so I sent him over to his landfill to check them out. He looked at one that was 17 years old over there, now the towns around them have them, and they have been around for quite awhile. I was very surprised at the price difference so, that is my recommendation, to go with the \$57,575.

Councilor Bowie said they are \$20,000 more. Councilor Larochelle said is there an overall weight. I am just curious to see how they come up with the dollar difference. Is this piece of equipment quite a bit less, because there's less material? Mr. Ricker said we checked through that and could find very little difference. Councilor Larochelle said is the payload grading and everything all the same. Mr. Ricker said if we go with this bigger one, we are going to be putting another potential six ton on it per trip. Councilor Larochelle said the cheaper one is actually longer. The warranty is pretty much the same. Mr. Ricker said they all came through with the same warranty. My recommendation is that in five or six years we start refurbishing these pieces of equipment, like the one that's 17 years old in Monmouth, that one has been done twice. If we do it every five or six years, you are going to extend the life of this equipment a lot longer.

Councilor Larochelle said I noticed that inside some of the box assemblies where they are rusting through, I know we drill holes and undercoat, is there is anyway or anything that you can do to these to preserve them. Mr. Ricker said I can't quite give you a straight answer on that, but that's why I was saying we need to look at refurbishment, and I believe that cost was somewhere around \$7,000, as long as you do it early enough, because I sent ours right over after I spotted that and they wanted \$20,000. I remember I was telling you about it, and that it didn't make sense to spend that much money, although we could.

Councilor Larochelle said most of the refurb is to the exterior part of the steel, but what I am talking about is more like undercoating on the interior on the box channels. Again, I guess that is something to look for and maybe you could ask them if there is anything, they can do to help preserve it. Mr. Ricker said the interior looks pretty good all the time, because it doesn't have a chance of rust, because it's quite clean everyday. Councilor Larochelle said areas I was talking about are inside the box channels, the ones that are rusted, basically, the big holes. Mr. Ricker said, yes, big holes.

VOTE (2010-117) Councilor Larochelle, seconded by Councilor Mason moved to award the Trailer Bid to Steco for \$57,575 for a steel ejector transfer trailer.

Councilor Larochelle said what are we doing with the unit. Is that figured in as a trade-in, is it worth anything, or do we keep it as a spare. Mr. Ricker said I'm recommending we keep it as a spare, because they were both bought at the same time, we have always been on a 10-year cycle, now we are up to 12, and I don't think you are going to come up with \$57,000 or \$60,000 next year, so my recommendation is to keep them both around. We can keep them going until we get this one paid for and come in with another one. If our math is right, it will be about 2½ years or 3 until we get the next one, so we can come in without a higher budget next year or the year after. Now if you want to furnish us with a new one, I'll go along with it.

Councilor Larochelle said I was just curious. Typically, we try to get rid of the equipment so we are not maintaining something that we are supposed to get rid of. You are saying, you have two units that are identical so you can use one for parts. Mr. Ricker said we had to do that last time at the tail end. The other thing, I'm going to experiment with single stream, so I'm going to try hauling a load or two of our own single stream, but quickly looking at it, it isn't figuring out, because I've got to spend money to build some kind of retainer wall, add on to it, and stuff like that. We could end up spending more money to save a dollar, and if that is the case, we will keep using it and just get by for the next two years with it, for what we would get for a trade-in.

Councilor Larochelle said you know best up there, I'm sure. I just wanted to make sure we weren't maintaining something that we were replacing. Mr. Ricker said it would stay in the cycle and continue to be used so it won't just sit there and rust. That's the best way, there's less rusting and wear on them that way.

Councilor Pomelow said could you restate that number. I think we have an incorrect number. Councilor Bowie said \$57,775. Councilor Pomelow said it should be \$57,575. Councilor Bowie said good catch. The motion is for \$57,575 and I know Wayne won't spend the extra \$700 if he can't get the extra length.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

LINE STRIPING BIDS

Mr. Eldridge said we put out our line striping bids and we got one return. This is the same group, which did it last year. Mr. Beal said I got two bids returned. One said after they returned it that they didn't have the paint to do it, but that one was higher anyway. Councilor Mason said that was my question, they do have paint. Mr. Beal said Markings, Inc. does. The budget is for \$16,000, so I will have to come up with \$246.00 somehow, but the chlorinated rubber traffic paint they do have and they don't have a problem getting it.

VOTE (2010-118) Councilor Mason, seconded by Councilor Pomelow moved to award the line striping bid to Markings Inc. for \$16,246.00.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

PUBLIC WORKS BOILER BIDS

Mr. Eldridge said the next two bids, the boiler and insulation bids, comes from funding we received from the Efficiency Maine. We received an \$85,000 grant for the boiler. Ryan put together the specs for these.

Mr. Leighton said we already have the natural gas service down there so we have put out a bid for a community gas boiler. Thayer Corporation, Veilleux's Plumbing, Mechanical Services, and Nason Mechanical Systems were all supplied RFP's, but we only received bids from Mechanical Services and Nason Mechanical Systems. Mechanical Services is the company we currently use for routine maintenance for all of our buildings. Their price was considerably less than Nason Mechanical Systems and they bid the exact same unit. Our recommendation is to move forward with the Mechanical Services bid so we can get this installed this summer and get it up and running in time for the heating season.

Councilor Larochelle said so the difference in the bids is labor. Mr. Leighton said it must be, because the Nason Mechanical Systems guy commented after the fact that they bid it barely over the cost of the equipment, so either Mechanical Services gets a better deal on the equipment or their labor rates are better. I did find that their labor rates were cheaper when we put out the maintenance contract. Mechanical Services has done quite a bit of installations for us in the last couple of years and they have done a fantastic job on everything they have done.

Councilor Larochelle said I know the last time we put a boiler in, the boiler was not the only item that had to be changed. How is the rest of the mechanics and wiring? Mr. Leighton said this will cover everything in the boiler room itself. There are heating units that if there is money left over in this grant, we would like to replace as well as look at some other alternatives if we are allowed to do that. I would like to think that the Efficiency Maine people wouldn't really give us a hard time over spending money on the heating units. This is strictly for the main heating system. It was sized to handle future cost expansion, if that ever comes to fruition. Basically, it is everything in the boiler room.

Councilor Pomelow said are they going to hold to that bid, because it is over 30 days. Mr. Leighton said, yes, I believe so. Councilor Pomelow said their quote does not include the 40-gallon electric water heater. Mr. Leighton said, no, it doesn't.

Councilor Larochelle said is it more practical, economically and efficiently, to build a boiler that provides domestic hot water. I assume that is what the electric water heater does now. Mr. Leighton said, well, I don't know. We've hashed this over for quite a bit, because in the summertime we would rather turn the boilers off and utilize either an electric hot water heater propane fired or natural gas fired stand-alone unit. Councilor Larochelle said I agree I was just curious. Mr. Leighton said we were surprised to get the grant to begin with to replace the boiler,

because it was one of those items that if we hadn't been able to do it through this funding, we would have had to budget it. It was on the list coming forth through the regular budget, as well so.

VOTE (2010-119) Councilor Pomelow, seconded by Councilor Lunt moved to award the boiler bid to Mechanical Services for \$24,910.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mrs. Fitzgerald said does the bid include removing and disposing of the old boiler. Councilor Bowie said it does.

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

PUBLIC WORKS INSULATION BIDS

Mr. Eldridge said this was part of the grant. Mr. Leighton said, again, we had a bunch of different people tell us how to deal with this building. We discussed the possibility of insulating the outside, but since we received the grant after we had spent \$60,000 doing the masonry and sealing the outside of the building it was deemed it would be more cost effective if we insulated the interior of the building. I put out bids to R.L. Strout & Son Building Contractors, Fairbanks Construction, and D. D. Dyer Contracting, made calls to local contractors, including Tri-State Insulation and David Insulation, but I think those two are primarily mechanical insulating companies. We only received two bids, one from R. L. Strout & Son Building Contractors and D. D. Dyer Contracting. D. D. Dyer, just so you will know, is the company who insulated the roof here at the Town Office last fall. Bob Strout's bid was \$20,870 and the Dyer bid was \$67,786. Obviously, I recommend that we award the project to R. L. Strout & Sons Building Contractors.

Councilor Bowie said why so different. Mr. Leighton said labor and unknowns. The Dyer guys were higher, they are pretty much blown in insulation people and I think that they didn't have the same kind of a grasp on the building aspect of it. We are talking about a 2" foam board with a 2" x 6" studded wall on the interior of that. It is going to require the removal of a lot of Public Works stuff that's on the walls, which probably won't make them happy, but it will help get things tidied up in there some. We will have to get an electrical contractor to extend the conduits so we can move the outlets that are on the wall. The biggest walls don't have a lot of electrical stuff, that's mostly on interior walls. The biggest issue is going to be where they store the blades for the plows. Its going to be a big job. would much rather have done it from the outside, but everybody told us it was going to be way more expensive if we tried to do it from the outside, because you would have to side it with something, vinyl siding, or whatever we chose was going to be costly. I, also, want to point out that these projects are at a 10% cost to the town for the work that we are getting done. To be honest, I see this as being a bit of a horror show because of the amount of work that's going to be involved and the coordination effort that we are going to have with Public Works, as busy as they are right now. We did put a deadline of Sept. 30 originally, but I doubt that's reasonable based on the amount of work that we are going to have to coordinate. We needed to start someplace and I wanted to at least get the contractor onboard, especially somebody that can work with us as we try to get everything done that needs to get done.

Councilor Larochelle said I was reading this and I'm not really familiar with some of the sheathing that they use, it is going to be drywall type sheathing on the outside, or is it going to be plywood? Mr. Leighton said it's 5/8" plywood. I don't know if you've seen the new buildings now when they go up, they've got a red and green exterior, and I can't remember what goes on the roof, but it basically has your type "R" or your rubber roofing included as part of the manufacture of the board. It takes a step out of the building process and that was what we looked at doing, which was durable. Councilor Larochelle said, so, when you put it up it's waterproof. Mr. Leighton said theoretically, I guess. Councilor Larochelle said a while back they had done a neat thing. It was the

same type of setting, where stuff went in all the time that's wet and damp, as in any garage, most walls take a beating, and they used the same materials you would for a steel building, and when it came to the electrical it was a little more work, but you didn't have to do anything to it, it was maintenance-free.

Mr. Leighton said we had looked at the panels they use on, like, the interior of a car wash, but it's easier for us to replace this stuff than it is some of that other stuff. This is the one project that I am still leery about, because that building is going to be a pain to work with. There is no really good solution. Based on all the advice that we got, this is what we came up with, but I still don't have a warm and fuzzy feeling about this whole process.

Councilor Larochelle said since we are talking about the moisture is there a way once we do insulate this and kind of make it air tight, to maybe get rid of the moisture, and stuff during the winter. Those trucks go into the garage as a big ice cube during the winter, plus you have heat, so unfortunately the moisture has to go somewhere. It's either going to be dripping from the ceilings or it's going to go away. That's the biggest difference between a building that's well insulated and sealed up tight. Mr. Beal said I have never in my 26 years, seen water dripping from the ceiling. Councilor Larochelle said it's never been insulated either, has it. Mr. Beal said no.

Mr. Leighton said, well, we are not doing anything to the roof either. It already has 3" inches of rigid insulation on the ceiling. To be honest, we may end up having to go to a 2"x 4" wall instead of the 2"x 6". I would rather keep the wall "R" value as close to the roof "R" value, because it doesn't do us any good to have the bigger "R" value on the walls. Councilor Larochelle said heat goes up not out. Mr. Leighton said this is our baseline and it may be tweaked as we really get into this. Councilor Larochelle said some insulation is better than none at all. Mr. Leighton said our goal is to try to meet the requirements that we set forth in the grant and, basically, we told them this is what we would do and now we are trying to do it.

Councilor Bowie said I guess I would entertain a motion for \$20,870.

VOTE (2010-120) Councilor Larochelle, seconded by Councilor Pomelow moved to award the Public Works insulation bid to R. L. Strout & Son Building Contractors for \$20,870.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mrs. Fitzgerald said you are talking about moisture resistant plywood. Is there such a thing as fire resistant plywood, too? Could that be a requirement or would that be helpful or necessary?

Mr. Leighton said I'm not aware of it. I would have to research it. Mrs. Fitzgerald said you know they have a fire retardant dry wall. Councilor Larochelle said drywall is not very moisture resistance; it actually sucks in the moisture, so that is not really good in this circumstance. Now with this bid of \$20, 000, you are going to try to make that work and everything, there are a lot of unknowns I realize. Mr. Leighton said Bob said he would do what we asked him to do for that price and then if we end up modifying it some then we will see what happens.

Mr. Leighton said this is just the main garage. We actually picked the exterior walls that had the biggest, well, you've been there enough to know where all the parts are there in the back, we insulated that exterior wall, that was in the main building, not the exterior wall that was in the back bay. We tried to pick all the biggest spaces that couldn't be shut off with a door or whatnot to try to insulate the garage itself versus the upstairs. Upstairs already has 2"x 4" studding and drywall, so we can address those a little bit differently then if we have to with what we are doing here. We might be able to tell afterwards with the infrared gun where we really need it. Councilor Larochelle said once you open those doors to let the trucks out, there's a big hole. Mr. Leighton said then its recovery time, and hopefully, the new boiler we will be able to recover faster than the old system could.

Mr. Eldridge said this leaves a balance on the grant, there's a third project, so once we know what these two projects come in at, we can work on replacing windows at the MTM Center with just under \$40,000 left from this grant. It was part of the grant proposal. We have a couple of estimates for around that amount of money, so now we can start putting that together to go out to bid and get those done before winter gets here.

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

MMA BALLOT FOR VICE PRESIDENT & EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

VOTE (2010-121A) Councilor Pomelow, seconded by Councilor Mason moved to cast a ballot for Vice-President for Sophia Wilson, Town Manager of Brownville.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

Order passed - Vote 7-0.

VOTE (2010-121B) Councilor Pomelow, seconded by Councilor Mason moved to cast a ballot for Directors for Michelle Beal, Marston Lovell, and Robert Yandow.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

Order Passed Vote 7-0.

OTHER BUSINESS

A. MR. UPHAM'S WELL ROUTE 9

Mr. Eldridge said we brought in a geologist to look at Mr. Upham's property. Roger Therriault, Ryan Leighton, and I met with him, we inspected the property, and his recommendations you have.

Mr. Eldridge said, basically, he found that we could drill a well further back from the road and away from his other wells. We will have to close off the two wells that are contaminated. He will be on site to do the testing to make sure that what he thinks is the geology is exactly what the geology is and, also, to check the quality of the water.

Mr. Leighton said he was going to evaluate or inspect the installation of a new well to make sure that that installation is going to give us the best chance for clean water, as well as recommending that we extend the steel casing a little bit deeper than they normally would when they drill a well. The biggest thing that we found out when we went out there was that Mr. Upham has three drilled wells and two dug wells on that property. The abandonment of the old wells could be costly. They have to fill them with a cement-type product to make sure that they are sealed off so there is no cross-contamination between the existing well and the new one that he is proposing. It sounded like there was a possibility that they could drill just one well and feed both houses, that he would be amenable to that as long as there were two separate systems. His proposal is reasonable, I believe, for the work that is involved. It's just that we have five of these to do now, so we are probably looking at least at \$15,000 per well based on the costs that he is proposing and then the actual installation of the wells. The concern that I still have is what if we can't find a good spot to dig. Mr. Upham's property is easy, well, I won't say easy, but we have the best chance for good water, because it is a larger parcel. One of the wells that's contaminated is almost to the Sabattus town line on Route 9 and it's a tiny lot.

Councilor Bowie said are we responsible for the abandonment efforts, as well. Mr. Eldridge said that has yet to be determined. Mr. Leighton said that according to MDOT we seem to be holding the bag. Councilor Bowie said if we provide him with new water do we have to abandon the old wells. Mr. Leighton said that's the geologist's recommendation that you drill the well and shortly thereafter properly abandon the existing wells. The three drilled wells would be the costly ones to abandon. The two dug wells just require solid fill for abandonment. I already talked to another well driller who said the same thing, that you have to flow the well with cement. Councilor Mason said why does he have so many wells. Mr. Leighton its bizarre. There are two houses on the same property. They tried to drill one well, I guess, and I didn't quite understand what happened, but they had to turn right around and drill another one right at the same time, because they couldn't get further away from that well to drill the second one, so they drilled two right next to each other to feed the old farmhouse. So he has got a well for his house that's drilled, and then there are the two dug wells that were originally for the old farmhouse. Right now, the farmhouse is on a dug well. Councilor Mason said he is using only two of those wells, so why should we be responsible for the other three. Leighton said because they provide a potential for cross-contamination, because they are closer to the road. I'm not a geologist. Councilor Mason said to me, if they were abandoned in the first place, they should have been taken care of by somebody other than the Town of Lisbon, that's my opinion. Mr. Leighton said we don't know the cost of abandonment right now. Councilor Bowie said what I am having trouble with is understanding how you are going to stop it from getting over to the other side by pouring cement into the existing pipe that goes down 20' to 400' feet, I mean, the water is down below that and is still in the ground. Leighton said they say the salt comes in from closer to the surface. Councilor Bowie said but it doesn't come in through the casing. Councilor Cote said there are veins all the way down that well, and when you plug the well up, that stops those veins from pouring into that well. Councilor Bowie said my last understanding of a drilled well is that it has a steel casing that goes all the way down. Councilor Crafts it goes to the ledge, the ledge is cracked and it comes from that.

Councilor Larochelle said I know initially we wanted a geologist to go out and give us an idea. He's not going to guarantee anything, he is not going to do anything besides point and say drill there and test for water, from what I see., so is that even practical with no guarantees that he is going to help us. To be a general contractor of a well drilling company, I guess, is what I see him being. Again, is it worth the \$2,800 per well to actually do this, is my question. I realize his initial evaluation of it was important for us to justify drilling a well, but for \$2,800 per well to actually have someone oversee it, I don't know. Mr. Leighton said this is to tell us where to drill it. He hasn't marked the spot. He got background information on what we were looking for and got an understanding of what was available for information. This is his proposal to evaluate all of the information that is available and based on his technical expertise in reviewing geological maps and what not, he's going to go out and say this is your best gamble point for drilling a well.

Councilor Larochelle said so when you went back and gave us a figure like \$12,000 or \$14,000 per well, what does that break down to. Mr. Leighton said that's \$2,800 for him, maybe call it \$5,000 per well, and then the cost for abandonment of the other ones, then again, I was guessing based on my assumption of having to deal with three to five wells. Councilor Larochelle said my concern is that it's hard to move forward without actually knowing exactly where we are moving to, I guess, with the abandonment of the wells and that type of stuff. Aren't most well companies responsible for doing a well test when they are done, as far as water purity and quality, so isn't the well test already in the \$5,000 figure, right? Mr. Leighton said correct. Councilor Larochelle said, okay, I'm just going back to figure out what the \$2,800 is getting us, that's all, besides marking the spot.

Councilor Crafts said I totally agree with Fern. I can't see the \$2,800, when we are only talking about a little small area, so how many options do you have looking at the maps. Mr. Leighton said I have no idea where to drill, I don't know anything about drilling wells. Councilor Crafts said Fern's exactly right, he's the general contractor overseeing the job and I don't think it's necessary. I think the well driller is very capable of doing the same thing that he would be doing, but that's my

opinion, too. I think there is a \$2,800 savings there. Mr. Leighton said okay. Councilor Pomelow said that's fine.

Councilor Cote said are we going to be taking care of the other wells that have a problem, also. Mr. Eldridge said we have to. Councilor Cote said are we going to be doing this at the same time so we can get maybe a cut on the drilled wells. Councilor Larochelle said the problem is that we don't have the money to do any of them right now. Mr. Eldridge said well, that's the other issue, there is no money appropriated for this. That was not part of the bond. We can't take the money from there, because that is not what we said we were going to use it for. We have to find out where we are going to get the money from to move forward with this.

Councilor Crafts said the bottom line is that we're going to have to find it somewhere. Mr. Eldridge said we are going to have to find it somewhere. I am in the process now of searching for that money. I've spoken to several different representatives and our insurance company. MMA may be able to do something, as well. In the meantime, we may have to appropriate it from the surplus. Councilor Cote said are we going to move forward and give this man a well. Mr. Eldridge said, yes, that would be my recommendation that we move forward on Mr. Upham's well and get that drilled. Councilor Crafts said I agree.

Councilor Larochelle said this isn't the first town that has had this happen, and this isn't the first well to be contaminated, so there has to be some type of procedure that is done as far as for drilling wells, capping, or cementing, you would think. Mr. Leighton said I did receive proposals from the well driller for abandonment of wells, that was based on a per footage price. Councilor Larochelle said was it the people that bid this that recommended something be done with this as a way to assure that this other well that we drill doesn't get contaminated. Mr. Leighton said yes, but what I don't know is if it's a State requirement to abandon them. Mr. Eldridge said I would have to check with legal counsel. Councilor Cote said I don't see where the dug well would be the big issue. I think the drilled well would be.

Councilor Pomelow said it doesn't make sense to me that we would do one well to serve two homes. Are those properties deeded separately? I guess the question I would like to have an answer to is if we drill one well there and at some point they separate the deeds to that property, they become two, are we going to face this issue again. Councilor Bowie said we won't, because they will own the well. When I used to live on Littlefield Road, we had five families on one well, five different families on five different pieces of property on one well. Councilor Pomelow said so what happens if the well goes, do we have to drill it again. Councilor Bowie said you have to have a little association to maintain it and support it. Mr. Leighton said that is why he wanted two separate systems with two separate pumps.

Councilor Crafts said you create an easement when you sell the property if you have two separate wells, two separate power supplies and separate piping. Each homeowner would be responsible for their separate pumping system and then the easement would be in the deed so they could always go across that property to fix it. It's very common.

Councilor Larochelle said Mr. Upham was actually in agreement with it from what I remember. Mr. Leighton said my only issue with that is that he already has a separate well for the separate residence. Personally, I think each of them should have a separate well, but now you are talking about drilling another well and then the possibility of having to treat another well. Councilor Cote said what's the legalities of this. Councilor Larochelle said whatever the landowner wants, if he is willing to have one decent well a distance away that's going to be a productive well and serve the purpose and he's in agreement with this, then I think that's what we need to do. Councilor Crafts said, I think, if I remember right, when he was here that he agreed to one well as long as it had a water supply. Mr. Leighton said I guess we drill one well, find out what we get for a yield, and go from there. Councilor Larochelle said the question is as we move forward what dollar figure do we put on this first well, or do we do them as a group. Mr. Leighton said we got estimates the first time

around and one well driller said if he were going to be able to do all five that, he would give us a certain price. Councilor Larochelle said at this point in time, do we put it on Steve to come up with sources of funding or will we have money to actually pay for it. Councilor Bowie said I think we have to, according to the Charter, appropriate this out of surplus due to an emergency situation and get things rolling, then if Steve can go off and find funding that covers that, we will just return that to surplus so we are covered. I think we have to start to show some progress towards getting some things done here while we continue to fight all of our battles trying to get it covered.

Mr. Leighton said my question is the other three or four, are we moving forward with all of these at once? Do we just do this one? Councilor Crafts said I think that we ought to drill this one, unless the other people are pushing, but this is the only one that is pushing us, right? Mr. Leighton, said right, but what if someone decides to start pushing us in January. Councilor Crafts said we ought to do one and evaluate the results. Councilor Bowie said I think you should take the first step: let's drill it, get the water test back, and make sure there is no continued cross-contamination. We could drill this well, find out that there's still contamination, and we've spent \$4,500 and we didn't get anywhere.

Mr. Eldridge said each well has to be dealt with individually, because the one closest to Sabattus has limited property to drill on. I'm not so sure we are going to be able to solve that problem. We need to do one at a time and start with Mr. Upham. I'll come back to you on August 3 with what I have for a funding mechanism. Mr. Leighton said I'm pretty sure without having the list in front of me that the last three wells were all dug wells, so that may be our only real glimmer of hope, which is we go down there and find good water.

Councilor Mason said what is the cost to close off each well. Mr. Leighton said I don't know. Councilor Mason said that part concerns me a little bit. Mr. Eldridge said I'll have to check with Roger. Councilor Bowie said that will be a part of the whole package that comes back to us so that we will know what costs what. Councilor Cote said if Steve is going out to look for funding, is he going to even get a chance to apply for more than one well. Mr. Eldridge said oh yes. Councilor Bowie said well he could go out and get the funding for five. My concern is that our avenues for getting the funding will take time. It's not going to be something that you are going to turn around in a week or two. Unless a golden rod strikes someplace, I don't think Steve's going to come back August 3 and say we now have funding for five wells. Councilor Cote said yes, but we could go into undesignated, put that one well in, and then do the others later. We have that option.

Councilor Mason said how many different well drillers did you get bids from. Mr. Leighton said I got a few, but my problem was that I didn't know how many we were doing, at what depth, how far away from the house we were going, so no one really had unit prices. I still don't have any firm grasp on exactly where we are going to drill these wells. Councilor Bowie said don't the well drillers tell you where the water needs to be drilled. Mr. Leighton said, yes, but I'm sure each one of them has a different opinion. There's half a dozen well drillers in the area and I just don't know what kind of detailed RFP we want to do, I don't know.

Mr. Eldridge said we have more than one proposal. Mr. Leighton said Affordable Well Drilling had the best price. The other one was Temple and they only gave me the unit price to camera the existing wells to see if they could seal the existing well casing to see if that would eliminate the problem altogether. I've had so many alternatives thrown at us, as well as, you're taking a gamble drilling a well, just install a treatment system. You know you are going to treat it, but then you have 20 years to maintain it. There are too many options. If we are going to drill a well, that's great, then say you are going to drill a well here, how much is it going to be. I can get some pricing that way, I mean, if that's what I'm being asked to do.

Councilor Crafts said I want you to get some estimates on this one particular job, they should be able to give you a pretty good idea about where it should be drilled and how far away from the house they want you to be, and then give you a price, and then get some bids on filling those wells

and sealing them. Councilor Bowie said, good, I'm okay with it. Mr. Eldridge said so we will get as many well drillers as we can on site and show them where we want to put it and get a price.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mrs. Fitzgerald said I can shed some light on why there are three wells on the Upham property. There are two houses, the old cape, and the old family homestead. Mr. Upham had one well drilled, went down about 200' feet and got no water at all, so they pulled up the equipment and moved it over a few feet, drilled down around 200' feet and they got about 1 ½ gallons per minute, which is not too much flow at all. If you only get a few gallons per minute to provide water to two homes, that's just not going to cut it. Councilor Bowie said what we just said was, we are going to drill one well and see what our yield is, then depending upon what we get, we'll make a decision on whether to drill another well or not.

Mrs. Fitzgerald said I do agree with Councilor Crafts to a certain extent and you that you can have several wells on one property, as long as the yield is sufficient. You can do easements as long as the lender will agree to it.

Councilor Cote said that whole hill is really sketchy on water, anyway. Their neighbors went down 150' feet and he's getting over 15 gallons a minute. I'm only getting three gallons a minute. That whole hill is really weird. They might punch a hole in George's lot and come up with a real good well, too, you don't know. Who knows where the veins are in the ground.

Ken Wells said I think you guys ought to reconsider the geologist. I've been dealing with one at work for the last week and you would be pretty impressed with their knowledge, just looking at it. You could probably get them to scale it down and not do all the stuff he's quoted. I think for placing the well, you're probably going to get the best shot with a certified geologist.

Sandy Woods said is there any way you can check to see if these wells were contaminated before, because I know one of those wells, and I know that that person said his well was no good before this, so you are going to be paying for a well that was no good anyway.

Councilor Bowie said I don't know how we can do that. Mr. Leighton said we have been putting salt on the road for 20-years. Councilor Bowie said I don't know how we could tell that it was contaminated before. Mr. Lunt said we would have to have testimonies or something like that. Mr. Eldridge said that's part of the argument that we have been having with the Maine Department Of Transportation, but we've gotten nowhere with them, so its going to be a legal battle more than anything else. Councilor Pomelow said they continued to provide the water to those homes. Mr. Eldridge said they did continue to provide the water to those homes, which to me is guilt by association. Councilor Bowie said one would think so.

Councilor Cote said is there any chance that there would be funds leftover on that road. Mr. Eldridge said we have to use those funds strictly for what we said we were going to use if for, which is for the water system itself. Councilor Cote said even though we would be using it to repair salty wells. Councilor Bowie said, no, unfortunately, when we write bonds like this it's based on the description we use, however, if we had known this then, we could have included it, but we specifically defined what those funds would be used for and that's what the public voted for, so we have to adhere to those.

Councilor Bowie said, okay, so you will come back with some stuff on August 3. I would ask that you come back with a scaled back hydrologist quote to help with placement, you know, not doing some of the things that maybe are standard in there that the well drilling company is going to go do. I do respect Mr. Well's opinion when it comes to dealing with water and things like that.

B. WORUMBO MILL PROPERTY

Mr. Eldridge said Dot came to see me last week with concerns about the Worumbo Mill. She was asking questions about where we were in the process. I did tell her that the Economic Development Director is working hand in hand with a representative from Miller Industries as well as working directly with their real estate agent who represents Miller Industries. I told her I would put it on the agenda so that she could speak to Council about this.

Mrs. Fitzgerald said thank you. You are all probably aware now that the Worumbo Mill property is on the market listed with a realtor. The same day it came on the market, I saw an article in the paper, there was a picture of the waterfront park in Bangor, and it really got me thinking. There are a lot of small towns here in Maine. Rumford has a beautiful waterfront park. The small Town of Richmond has a waterfront park. Bowdoinham has a waterfront park and here we have a beautiful piece of property with water frontage, so why couldn't we purchase that property and have a beautiful waterfront park right in our town. Yes, I understand Ms. Bradley has been working with various people who have expressed an interest in the property and she has a Downtown Development Committee looking for ways to develop and beautify the downtown area. She is still working with a realtor to find the best fit for the property and the town. However, sometimes we overlook the most obvious. You know, it just finally struck me, why not a beautiful waterfront park. A beautiful waterfront park would be a cornerstone for the downtown development. Waterfront property is at a premium, it doesn't come along every day and here we have it right here in our front yard. Buying the Worumbo Mill with its historic value creating a waterfront park would be an investment in our future. The value of the remaining buildings would be increased considerably and then we could sell them, perhaps a first class restaurant, a mini-mall with shops, I don't know what Ms. Bradley has in mind, who she has talked with, who she has spoken to, but I'm sure she could find a good fit. We could all work together. It would be a destination; our town would be a destination, and not just a drive-through town. Imagine concerts in the park. I know we have concerts in the MTM Park now, but it is just such a beautiful site. I don't know how many of you have ever gone in there and just walked around. I know Gina has, so I'm sure she would agree with me. We've spent over a million dollars on walking trails, our Fire and Police Departments are second to none. This would be an investment in our future. I think a waterfront park would be just that, it would bring businesses to town, it would bring people to town, and businesses would thrive.

Mrs. Fitzgerald said I gave Mr. Eldridge a copy of the multiple listing including disclosures, but there wasn't much for disclosures, and he said that he would provide a copy to all of you, if he didn't, I can bring one up tomorrow. The price for the parcel south of Canal Street together with the Worumbo Mill building and the red brick office building with 7.75 acres and 1,000' feet of waterfront on the Androscoggin River is \$899,000. The parcel north of Canal Street between Route 196 and Canal Street with the three buildings slated to come down has 2½ acres and is on the market for \$169,000. I have spoken with a realtor who has it listed and he assured me he would be willing to work with us and let me know if he has any other interest in the property.

Mrs. Fitzgerald said there must be grant money available. We managed to come up with funds for various pet projects, why not this. I think we need to act and we need to act now. Once a property goes on the market it goes nationwide and it goes worldwide through the internet. My feeling is, do an option to purchase to take it off the market, develop some plans and seek financing. It can be done.

Councilor Mason said we have been working on that project for two years now with the Revitalization Committee. That's the pinnacle of downtown. As a matter of fact it is in the planning stages as we speak. We had a company that came into downtown and toured the downtown. As a matter of fact, George was there that day and they toured the downtown and we gave them some ideas of things that we would like to do. We would like to put a River Park in there. I think part of what Rosie is trying to do and she has been working on doing is, if we were to get a developer in there, that the developer may include that in some of their revitalization of the mill area and it is done all the time. It's possible and it's done all the time. Councilor Mason said my question is,

where are we, as a town, going to get a million dollars when we are in the budget shortfalls that we are in right now. That's my question. It's a wonderful idea, but it's something we have been talking about for two years through revitalization, so it's not anything new, really.

Mrs. Fitzgerald said well, my feeling is, as I said, make them put an option on the property with an earnest money deposit with a deadline of say, six months, to obtain financing, and then look for grants. There are options there. You can sell it to a developer, but once it's gone it's gone you really are not going to be able to control things. This way the town could have a waterfront park. You could control it and sell the two remaining buildings. The value of those two buildings would increase considerably once the park is developed.

Councilor Mason said that's very true, but my question is where do we get a million dollars from with the tax budget season we've just passed. Mrs. Fitzgerald said I agree. I have spoken to many people about this and everyone thinks it's just a wonderful idea. We came up with money for our walking trails. I think we could do this, I really do.

Councilor Mason said part of the development for that building, because it is a historic building and on the National Historic Register, somebody that goes in there to develop that building can get up to close to 50% of their development money. A lot of what Rosie has suggested, are people who are doing exactly that, and those people tend to like to keep things historical and tend to work very closely with the town through our Downtown Network that is what they are all about. The Downtown Maine Development Foundation is all about that kind of thing. There are developers all over the state that do that kind of thing. The conferences that we attend every year are all about that type of thing. Generally, developers that go in for that large of a project, they will develop in that manner. You certainly can't control what they do.

Mrs. Fitzgerald said you can still sell the buildings and have them developed, but you would own the remaining land, the remaining acreage to develop into a waterfront park. Councilor Mason said absolutely, but again, funding is a big issue and we've understood that all along. It's a good proposal, but it's a lot of money.

C. FINANCE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mrs. Maloy said we are looking at a revenue shortfall again this year. This is primarily due to the State Revenue Sharing coming in under budget by approximately \$245,000. Year-to-date we've collected approximately \$755,000. Investment interest is down \$21,000, that's because the rates are low. Year-to-date for investment interest we've collected \$24,000. The ACO officer revenue is showing under budget by \$15,000, however most of that will be billed out to Sabattus, probably this month, so that money will be coming in. Looking at excise tax, we've collected approximately \$222,000 more than our budgeted amount of \$972,000, so year-to-date we've collected \$1,192,000. The recycling revenue is over the budget at \$100,000 by approximately \$25,000, primarily due to the sales of permits and the increase in price for recyclables. In total, our Parks & Recreation revenue is over budget by \$13,000. We still have not received the General Assistance funding from the State. We are not sure when that will be coming in.

Councilor Bowie said so this is where you think we are ending up at the end of June. Mrs. Maloy said yes.

Mrs. Maloy said looking at expenses, we are over expended in Legal by \$30,000, that's primarily due to the work on the most recent bond. The Planning Board was over expended by about \$4,000 due to AVCOG's services. Other than that, there's really nothing new that hasn't been discussed already for expenses. It's looking as though we will be rolling somewhere between \$350,000 and \$400,000 into surplus. This is higher than I initially estimated. Last month I told you we were up to \$160,000 and that's primarily due to the influx of the excise tax revenue. In June, alone, we had \$143,000 come in, and then the recycling revenue.

Councilor Bowie said, well, that's a good note. Councilor Lunt said, finally, the economy is picking up, maybe. Mr. Eldridge said we hope it continues.

Councilor Bowie said has the audit started. Mrs. Maloy said the auditors would be here in two weeks to begin their audit.

Mr. Eldridge said, carry forwards and transfers, the auditors will get pretty well cleaned up on what they need to do, so in August we should be through all that and finish up. We are in pretty good shape.

D. TOWN MANAGER'S REPORT

Mr. Eldridge said Bill Van Tuinen, on the Assessing side, is finishing his work. He has pretty much done all his fieldwork and is trying to get most of the data into the system as much as they can. All the personal property data that we have received, declaration sheets, are going into the system. We are going to send one more letter out to those who haven't submitted their declaration sheets with the estimated amounts that we are looking at. Hopefully, whoever hasn't will come in and have discussions with Pat. Hopefully, we will start running tests on the data, in the next two weeks to see where we fall.

Councilor Bowie said there are two things going on there, right. Mr. Van Tuinen is doing the land valuations so when will that be done and when will we see our first cut of those numbers. Mr. Eldridge said I'm hoping for the first week of August. We'll see. We'll be doing comparisons to what we had and what we have. Councilor Bowie said when do we have to set the tax rate. Mr. Eldridge said the end of August.

Mr. Eldridge said the Comprehensive Plan came up at one of our Planning Board workshops. Because of all the changes being made on land use, zoning, and a few other things, we will need to go back and bring the Comprehensive Plan up-to-date, so that's something we need to start thinking about. It will still take some time before you get it, because they are still tweaking that. The Planning Board is meeting next week to address some of that. Hopefully, that will come before you the first week of August if everything goes well.

Councilor Cote said we haven't even fulfilled the Comprehensive Plan of 2007 and we are already amending it. Mr. Eldridge said according to John Maloney and Ferg Lee from AVCOG, if we pass the zoning ordinances the way it's being proposed, it will no longer be in compliance, because we have made some changes that weren't necessarily recommended in the one that you adopted in 2007. The Comprehensive Plan is a work in progress, you have to make adjustments, and changes as things change.

Councilor Cote said, yet, the zoning ordinances have never been brought up to speed to what the Comprehensive Plan indicates. Mr. Eldridge said they are going to be brought up to speed with what most of the recommendations were, from the way I understood it. There are some other recommendations that have been made that weren't in the Comprehensive Plan that are being proposed, so this is something to think about and consider as we move forward.

Mr. Eldridge said Rosie and I met with the PANAM, the railroad people, which was a very constructive meeting, along with Meg Moulton. They are looking to bring the rail up to Capital Avenue in the industrial park. They are actually speaking to some of the people in the park, one in particular, about shipping stuff and they've come up with some ideas to be able to accommodate loading docks for tractor trailers, so that's something we are going to start looking at to see if we can put together some information for those people. It is very positive. Again, the LA Railroad keeps talking to us about partnering with them. I told them that they need to give us some numbers. They are actively trying to pursue getting the rail into Lewiston as well as Auburn to the trade zone.

This is the first time we have met with PANAM, it's usually just MDOT, but they asked to talk with us about it, so it is encouraging. We may see rail coming through here quicker than we thought, which would be nice and there is some funding. They gave us a cost of about \$250,000 to go from the Knight Celotex building up to Capital Avenue or just beyond there where they have a switching station that is not used and they say they have that funding, which has been approved so it's encouraging.

Mr. Eldridge said Twila has had some fee changes from the State for vital records material. It is something that we ought to go back and revisit. I know Mike Cote already did some comparisons of what other towns are charging within his area, so maybe we can start looking at that as a Council. You have the authority as a Council to change the fees, so would you like it on the August agenda, a draft of what they are. Councilor Bowie said sure.

COUNCIL MEETING STARTING TIME

Mr. Eldridge said we had talked earlier about changing Council meeting times. Is that something the Council would like to entertain or look at? Councilor Mason said I would like to start at 6:00 PM in the winter, but not in the summer. Councilor Larochelle said PM would be very difficult for me. Councilor Pomelow said, yes, I think when we talked about this before I was willing to split the difference, 6:30 PM is reasonable. Councilor Cote said 6:00 PM would be real hard for people to do in general. Councilor Crafts said would 6:30 PM work. Councilor Larochelle said we can change our pumpkin hour to 8:30 PM instead of 9:00 PM, and 6:30 PM would definitely work better than 6:00 PM for me. Mr. Eldridge said do you want to try that, starting in September at 6:30 PM. Councilor Mason said make sure it's advertised well. Mr. Eldridge said, okay, we will give it a trial run in September and see how it goes.

Mr. Eldridge said just so you know, Durham has asked us to look at doing their animal control. They've decided to try us per diem. I've noticed there is an advertisement out there; they are looking for an animal control officer to work directly for them, so we will see how that goes. In the meantime, we have made a proposal to them. They've accepted our per diem costs. We will move forward and do the best we can.

Mr. Eldridge said Chief Galipeau has a 1996 Jeep Cherokee that's in pretty rough shape; it's rusted and it wouldn't pass inspection. The question is, they wanted to just bid it in house. Councilor Pomelow said is this the one we talked about before. Mr. Eldridge said it's the same one. They just never did anything with it. Councilor Bowie said so evidently they have somebody in house that wants it. Councilor Larochelle said don't we have a certain procedure we use for this. Mr. Eldridge said for real estate, not this. Councilor Larochelle said that's a little bit different, but I'm just curious, I was wondering if that procedure was actually something we would want to fall back on. Councilor Bowie said I think we should follow the standard practice. Mr. Eldridge said and put it out to bid. Councilor Bowie said we've done Public Works vehicles and other Fire Department vehicles that way.

Mr. Eldridge said, Strategic Planning, this is something I know Gina has brought up to have us start looking at some of the data that the visioning sessions did and to start having workshops to discuss where the town wants to be in five to ten years down the road for buildings and things like that so we had a plan in place, so when we get an opportunity to start pursuing other options, like the Public Safety building or whatever you think we should be doing. Mr. Eldridge said we can start that if you like. Councilor Bowie said okay. Mr. Eldridge said I'll start that process in September. What are your thoughts on, maybe the second meeting of the month, scheduling a section of our meeting for an hour and a half workshop to discuss things like this? A lot of communities do that. Councilor Bowie said as long as you make the agendas light. Mr. Eldridge said I'll make the agendas light.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

Roger Bickford said I'm missing one supportee, but basically I'm not here for the Town Council, I'm here to talk to Mr. Eldridge, again. The last time I was up talking to you I was quite happy with what you did. I did tell you, you ought to reign in Mr. Dow. Well, you kept the leashes and he has gone hog wild, so bad that you had to go do some damage control. The people in this town do not need or appreciate someone like him. Everyplace you go, you say he is a good person; he's not. He's a bully, from what I can see, especially to women in the beauty shop and such, he really is a bully. It is not good and some of the businessmen are here tonight. You've got to do something.

Mr. Eldridge said I have spoken to Mr. Dow about several of the instances just recently. Mr. Bickford said that maybe Mr. Brooks could have his second in command go around with Mr. Dow, because he is a very nice person and he knows everybody in town. Mr. Eldridge said I will continue to address it. Mr. Dow has a job that nobody would want to be doing, believe me. Mr. Bickford said, but he doesn't have to do it the way he's doing it. Mr. Eldridge said you are absolutely right and if you would like to come into my office I will go over what I have asked him to do, but I don't think it's something that needs to be addressed publicly, but I am hoping to see some results. I appreciate your comments. Mr. Bickford said at one of the other meetings, you said that we could go to him and talk to him about whatever, but from what I gather you go to him and he says, basically, the buck stops here. Are you working for him or is he working for you. Mr. Eldridge said he works for me and he, also, works for the State of Maine, keep that in mind. Mr. Bickford said who cares for the State of Maine. Mr. Eldridge said I have to worry about that.

Mr. Bickford said I'm worried about the townspeople. Mr. Eldridge said as am I. Mr. Bickford said I'm not worried about myself, I'm worried about the people who can't speak for themselves or won't speak for themselves. Something has to be done about it. Mr. Eldridge said I'm working on it, Mr. Bickford. Mr. Bickford said I hope so, I really do. Mr. Eldridge said, again, people need to make sure they come and see me directly, that I would appreciate. My door is always open. I am willing to listen and to be perfectly honest I have not seen more than maybe two people come into my office to speak to me. Mr. Bickford said you see I am speaking for the people who have come to me, he has not done anything to me, nothing. He came to my shop, I wouldn't let him in, he handed me the slip, I threw it out, and give it to him. He has not done anything to me, but people come to me and tell me what he's done to them. Mr. Eldridge said I appreciate that, but if people come to you or anyone else, tell them to please come and see me. I cannot deal with hear say, but I have been trying to do some damage control. In some cases it has worked, in some cases it has not. It's a personnel issue, please send them to see me directly. I respect the fact that they come to you, you are a well-respected person in this community, I know that, but they need to come see the Town Manager. The door is always open. I'm very willing to listen and take action. Mr. Bickford said I hate to be the one that is always the bearer of bad news, but as far as I know, with the Council, the buck stops with you. Mr. Eldridge said it certainly does. When it comes to personnel, that's my job. We will deal with it in whatever way it needs to be dealt with, but in the meantime people need to come see me directly if they can. Mr. Dow has a job to do. I hired him to do a job. He is doing it. I just want you to know that this is never a fun process. Mr. Bickford said I've been in this town for years, I know tax assessing, people don't like it, but I've never seen it this way, never. Mr. Eldridge said again, this is something I would be more than willing to talk to you about one on one. Mr. Bickford said, well, if he comes and does something to me, I'll be right back in your office. Mr. Eldridge said please take the time to come see me. Mr. Bickford said something needs to be done and I think everybody on the Council knows something really has got to be done. Councilor Bowie said we do.

Councilor Crafts said I just wanted to say I've had several come to me and for some reason people, the people seem to be comfortable coming to some people they know and are familiar with, so I've encouraged many of them to go see you or show up here, but it doesn't happen. I can tell you that the complaints and frustrations is absolutely like what Roger said big time and I just want to be on the record saying that.

Councilor Mason said I just have to echo that same thing. I have had several people in my driveway talking to my husband when I've arrived home on several days and several of them have had specific instances that have been backed up by others witnessing these instances. I've talked to you before Steve and we need to reel this guy in. Councilor Cote said I was roped into one as recently as today.

CONTAMINATED WELLS ON ROUTE 9

Kenneth Wells said I would like to bring up the issue of abandoning the wells and the property with the contaminated wells. I don't think, as a citizen, we should take care of all those; we should only take care of the active contaminated wells. If a person has multiple wells on there, they are required by the State that they abandon those wells, so they should be picking up the cost of that. I don't feel, as a taxpayer, it's up to me to pay to have all his other wells abandoned. Yes, for the contaminated well, we should abandon that one and all they do is they mix up a bunch of grout and pump it in until it comes out the top. It's not that costly. That's just my point of view and I'd like to express that.

APPOINTMENTS

CIVIL CONSTABLE

VOTE (2010-122) Councilor Larochelle, seconded by Councilor Crafts moved to appoint Lucien Asselin a constable. **Order passed - Vote 7-0.**

COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS

Councilor Mason said many thanks to everyone who was involved in Moxie and many thanks to Rosie Bradley and Sean Galipeau. There were a lot of changes in the festival this year, but for the best. We hope to make this festival bigger and bigger every year. We have people come here from all over the United States to this festival. It was very well attended, despite the rain. The parade was great. We had many comments that it was the best parade they had seen. We had some good participation. I was downtown afterwards. I walked from Kitty Korner down there, soaked, but it was a lot of fun. We sat around and hoped the rain would stop for awhile, but there was probably 8 inches of water running down the road. The kids were having fun, but the old people weren't. Anyway, we had a great time; it was a great parade. There was loss of revenue for many, which is very sad. Some of the charitable organizations that were there, the Fire Station just about broke even, that was too bad. That was a big fundraiser for them. I want to say many thanks to the Police, Fire, Public Works, and all the volunteers that helped to make this successful again this year. Thank you to the townspeople that joined in and participated as well. Councilor Bowie said great job.

Councilor Cote said it was mentioned tonight that the Comprehensive Plan needs to be dug into, and before we dig into our Comprehensive Plan, I would like to see Code Enforcement get out in this community and look at the issues that we have right now. We have people operating businesses way beyond what their zone allows. People buy property in zoned areas to protect their property and people are out there running businesses and doing things that they are not supposed to be doing according to the ordinances we currently have on the books. I think we ought to enforce what we have already first. I'd be more than willing to sit down with you and compile that list.

Councilor Larochelle said as someone not involved with Moxie myself, I really wasn't involved in the planning at all, but I had an opportunity to go down and check it out a little bit differently than I usually do. I want to thank everybody involved. There was a lot happening behind the scenes. There are a lot of people really earning their keep, even though they are probably not making lots, they are still earning their keep, from setting up the parade to making events happen. I want to

thank everyone that participates in making that happen, because, again, not being very involved, it's amazing how much work people do to make this happen. There are police officers trying to get everything flowing before the parade or after the fireworks and that's a major undertaking when all of those people want to leave town all at one time. I had an opportunity to check out the Moxie cooking contest. I had been warned it would be really bad, but I can tell you that it was really good. It is amazing how far people travel to bring in cooked stuff. One guy came down from Deer Isle, another from Bangor and from Massachusetts. I think this event draws in a lot of people. Unfortunately, I think if you get involved a little bit you get to see a totally different side of the Moxie program, so I encourage everybody to do their part and thank everybody that actually did something for it, because it does draw a lot of people into town. If you drove around and just looked at the night of the fireworks, it's amazing how many people you can fit into this town in odd places, because they were everywhere.

Councilor Bowie said I got to actually see the fireworks from the Cedar Pond Road. It was just amazing. You couldn't even drive down the Cedar Pond Road between all the boats that were out in the water and those watching it on shore, the entire Cedar Pond Road was packed. It was actually a very good place to watch it.

Councilor Mason said I have to tell you, at midtown you couldn't even see them, because all the smoke was coming our way, which was discussed at the meeting, but what are you going to do. Councilor Bowie said you never can tell which way the wind is going to blow. Roger Bickford said the best place to watch the fireworks is from John Crafts dooryard, bring your chairs, it's absolutely perfect. Councilor Larochelle said everywhere in town, there's somebody parked somewhere.

EXECUTIVE SESSION - NONE

ADJOURNMENT

VOTE (2010-123) Councilor Larochelle, seconded by Councilor Cote moved to adjourn at 9:15 PM. **Order passed - Vote 7-0.**

Respectfully Submitted,

Twila D. Lycette, Council Secretary
Town Clerk, Lifetime CCM/MMC

These Council meeting minutes were transcribed by Elizabeth French and edited by Twila Lycette.